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• The problem space
  – Why invent SAML at all?
  – What are the use cases that drive SAML’s design?

• SAML concepts

• Walking through scenarios

• Status of SAML and helpful resources
Is there even a problem to solve?

- Standards are emerging for many facets of collaborative e-commerce
  - Business transactions (e.g., ebXML)
  - Software interactions (e.g., SOAP)
- And some sophisticated access management solutions do exist
  - For example, dozens of companies provide “single sign-on” (SSO) solutions
- But...
Where do the problems lie?

- ...but communicating the security properties of these interactions isn’t well standardized
- And the solutions don’t interoperate at all
- And thus there’s lower deployment of interesting access management solutions, especially on the web
  - Like single sign-on (SSO)
- Web-based commerce shows the need for federation and standardization
  - For cost-effectiveness
  - For interoperability among solutions
  - For a more cohesive user experience
Use cases for sharing security information

- SAML developed three “use cases” to drive its requirements and design:
  1. Single sign-on (SSO)
  2. Distributed transaction
  3. Authorization service
- Each use case has one or more “scenarios” that provide a more detailed roadmap of interaction
#1: Single sign-on (SSO)

- Logged-in users of analyst research site SmithCo are allowed access to research produced by sister site JonesCo, where the two sites might be in a “federation”
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#2: Distributed transaction

- Employees at SmithCo are allowed to order office supplies from OfficeBarn if they are authorized to spend enough
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- Employees at SmithCo order office supplies directly from OfficeBarn, which performs its own authorization
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#3: Authorization service

- Employees at SmithCo order office supplies directly from OfficeBarn, which performs its own authorization.
What’s needed to accomplish all this

- A standard XML message format
  - It’s just data traveling on any wire
  - No particular API mandated
  - Lots of XML tools available

- A standard message exchange protocol
  - Clarity in orchestrating how you ask for and get the information you need

- Rules for how the messages ride “on” transport protocols and “in” application contexts
  - For better interoperability
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“SAML on one slide”

• It’s an XML-based framework for exchanging security information
  – XML-encoded security “assertions”
  – XML-encoded request/response protocol
  – Rules on using assertions with standard transport and messaging frameworks

• It’s an emerging OASIS standard
  – Vendors and users are involved
  – Codifies current system outputs rather than inventing new technology
SAML compared to existing security frameworks

- S/MIME messages
- SAML
- PKIX, X.509, et al.
XML-related security standards work

- **XML Signature**
  - SAML builds this in for digitally signing assertions

- **XML Encryption**
  - Important for flexibly managing security and privacy risks, e.g., encrypting just the credit card number

- **XKMS**
  - SAML traffic might be secured by XKMS-based PKI, by other PKI, or by other means entirely

- **XACML**
  - XML-based (and SAML-influenced) access control/policy language
More XML-related security standards work

- **DSML**
  - Directory services provided in XML form

- **Liberty Alliance**
  - Identity solution for SSO of consumers and businesses

- **Internet2**
  - Higher-education effort to develop advanced network applications and technologies
Industry traction for SAML?
For starters...

- Entegrity AssureAccess
- Entrust GetAccess portal
- Netegrity AffiliateMinder
- Oblix NetPoint
- RSA Security Cleartrust
- Sun ONE Identity Server
- Systinet WASP Secure Identity
- JSR 155 in the Java Community Process
- Portions of Internet2
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SAML assertions

• An assertion is a declaration of fact, according to someone

• SAML assertions are compounds of one or more of three kinds of “statement” about a “subject” (human or program):
  – Authentication
  – Attribute
  – Authorization decision

• They can be digitally signed

• You can extend SAML to make your own kinds of assertions and statements
Model for producing and consuming assertions
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Model for producing and consuming assertions

Credentials Collector -> Authentication Authority
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAML</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authorization Assertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authorization Decision Assertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy Decision Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Entity</td>
<td>Application Request</td>
<td>Policy Enforcement Point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAML Authentication
SAML Attribute
SAML Authorization Decision
The real world is more complex

- In practice, multiple kinds of authorities may reside in a single software system
  - SAML allows, but doesn’t require, total federation of these jobs
- Also, the arrows may not reflect information flow in real life
  - The order of assertion types is insignificant
  - Information can be pulled or pushed
  - Not all assertions are always produced
  - Not all potential consumers (clients) are shown
A possible deployment architecture

- User Agent
- Web Server (PEP)
- AuthN/AuthZ Server (PDP, AA, AA)
- Repository (XACML)
- LDAP or SAML or DSML

One DNS domain

HTTP (+ SAML artifacts)

Another DNS domain

HTTP (+ SAML artifacts)

SAML

SAML (+)
Statements in an assertion share some information

Assertion

IssuerID
IssueInstant
AssertionID
<Conditions>
<Advice>

Authentication Statement
Attribute Statement
Authorization Statement

<Subject>
<Subject>
<Subject>

...
Example common information for an assertion

```xml
<saml:Assertion
    MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="0"
    AssertionID="128.9.167.32.12345678"
    Issuer="Smith Corporation"
    IssueInstant="2001-12-03T10:02:00Z">
    <saml:Conditions
        NotBefore="2001-12-03T10:00:00Z"
        NotOnOrAfter="2001-12-03T10:05:00Z">
        <saml:AudienceRestrictionCondition>
            <saml:Audience>...URI...</saml:Audience>
        </saml:AudienceRestrictionCondition>
    </saml:Conditions>
    <saml:Advice>
        ...a variety of elements can go here...
    </saml:Advice>
    ...statements go here...
</saml:Assertion>
```
Authentication statement

- An issuing authority asserts that subject S was authenticated by means M at time T
- Targeted towards SSO uses
- **Caution:** Actually checking or revoking of credentials is not in scope for SAML!
- It merely lets you link back to acts of authentication that took place previously
Example assertion with authentication statement

```xml
<saml:Assertion ...>
  <saml:AuthenticationStatement
      AuthenticationMethod="...URI...">
    AuthenticationInstant="2001-12-03T10:02:00Z">
      <saml:Subject>
        <saml:NameIdentifier
            Format="#emailAddress">joeuser@smithco.com</saml:NameIdentifier>
        <saml:SubjectConfirmation
            ConfirmationMethod="...URI...">
        </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
      </saml:Subject>
  </saml:AuthenticationStatement>
</saml:Assertion>
```
Attribute statement

• An issuing authority asserts that subject S is associated with attributes A, B, ... with values “a”, “b”, “c”...

• Useful for distributed transactions and authorization services

• Typically this would be gotten from an LDAP repository
  – “john.doe” in “example.com”
  – is associated with attribute “Department”
  – with value “Human Resources”
Example assertion with attribute statement

```xml
<saml:Assertion ...
    <saml:AttributeStatement>
      <saml:Subject>...</saml:Subject>
      <saml:Attribute
        AttributeName="PaidStatus"
        AttributeNamespace="http://smithco.com">
        <saml:AttributeValue>
          PaidUp
        </saml:AttributeValue>
      </saml:Attribute>
    </saml:AttributeStatement>
    <saml:Attribute
        AttributeName="CreditLimit"
        AttributeNamespace="http://smithco.com">
      <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="my:type">
        <my:amount currency="USD">500.00</my:amount>
      </saml:AttributeValue>
    </saml:Attribute>
  </saml:AttributeStatement>
</saml:Assertion>
```
Authorization decision statement

• An issuing authority decides whether to grant the request by subject S for access type A to resource R given evidence E
• Useful for distributed transactions and authorization services
• The subject could be a human or a program
• The resource could be a web page or a web service, for example
Example assertion with authorization decision statement

```xml
<assertion ...
  <saml:AuthorizationStatement
    Decision="Permit"
    Resource="http://jonesco.com/rpt_12345.htm"
    <saml:Subject>...
    <saml:Action Namespace=
      "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwedc">Read
      </saml:Action>
    </saml:AuthorizationStatement>
  </saml:Assertion>
```
Extension points in the SAML assertion schema

- Assertion
- Statement
  - SubjectStatement
    - AuthenticationStatement
    - AttributeStatement
    - AuthorizationDecisionStatement
- (There are no final types or blocked elements)
- Extension may come at the price of interoperability
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SAML protocol for getting assertions

- Asserting Party (Trusted Issuing Authority)
- SAML Request
  - SAML Query
- SAML Response
  - SAML Assertions
- Relying Party
Assertions are normally provided in a SAML response

- Existing tightly coupled environments may need to use their own protocol
  - They can use assertions without the rest of the structure

- The full benefit of SAML will be realized where parties with no direct knowledge of each other can interact
  - Via a third-party introduction
Requests can take several forms

• You can query for specific kinds of assertion/statement
  – Authentication query
  – Attribute query
  – Authorization decision query

• You can ask for an assertion with a particular ID
  – By providing an ID reference
  – By providing a SAML “artifact”
Authentication query

• “Please provide the authentication information for this subject, if you have any”

• It is assumed that the requester and responder have a trust relationship
  – They are talking about the same subject
  – The response with the assertion is a “letter of introduction” for the subject
Example request with authentication query

```xml
<samlp:Request
  MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="0"
  RequestID="128.14.234.20.12345678"
  IssueInstant="2001-12-03T10:02:00Z">
  <samlp:RespondWith>saml:AuthenticationStatement
    <ds:Signature>...</ds:Signature>
    <samlp:AuthenticationQuery>
      <saml:Subject>...</saml:Subject>
    </samlp:AuthenticationQuery>
  </samlp:RespondWith>
</samlp:Request>
```
Attribute query

- “Please provide information on the listed attributes for this subject”
- If you don’t list any attributes, you’re asking for all available ones
- If the requester is denied access to some of the attributes, only the allowed attributes would be returned
  - This situation is indicated in the status code of the response
Example request with attribute query

```xml
<samlp:Request ...

  <samlp:AttributeQuery>
    <saml:Subject>...</saml:Subject>
    <saml:AttributeDesignator
      AttributeName="PaidStatus"
      AttributeNamespace="http://smithco.com"/>
  </samlp:AttributeQuery>

</samlp:Request>
```
Authorization decision query

• “Is this subject allowed to access the specified resource in the specified manner, given this evidence?”

• This is a yes-or-no question
  – The answer is not allowed to be “no, but they’re allowed to access these other resources”
  – Or “yes, and they’re also allowed to perform these other actions”
Example authorization decision query

```xml
<samlp:Request ...>
  <samlp:AuthorizationQuery
    Resource="http://jonesco.com/rpt_12345.htm">
    <saml:Subject>
      <saml:NameIdentifier
        SecurityDomain="smithco.com"
        Name="joeuser" />
    </saml:Subject>
    <saml:Action Namespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwedc">Read
      </saml:Action>
    <saml:Evidence>
      <saml:Assertion>...</saml:Assertion>
    </saml:Evidence>
  </samlp:AuthorizationQuery>
</samlp:Request>
```
Responses just contain a set of assertions

- One or more assertions can be returned with status information
- If something went wrong, no assertions are returned, just status
  - Status information can have a complex structure
- Responses are expected to be signed
Example response

```xml
<samlp:Response
    MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="0"
    ResponseID="128.14.234.20.90123456"
    InResponseTo="128.14.234.20.12345678"
    IssueInstant="2001-12-03T10:02:00Z"
    Recipient="...URI...">
    <samlp:Status>...</samlp:Status>
    <saml:Assertion
        MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="0"
        AssertionID="128.9.167.32.12345678"
        Issuer="Smith Corporation">
        <saml:Conditions
            NotBefore="2001-12-03T10:00:00Z"
            NotAfter="2001-12-03T10:05:00Z" />
        <saml:AuthenticationStatement >...<
        </saml:AuthenticationStatement>
    </saml:Assertion>
</samlp:Response>
```
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Bindings and profiles connect SAML with the wire

- This is where SAML itself gets made secure
- A “binding” is a way to transport SAML requests and responses
  - SOAP-over-HTTP binding is a baseline
  - Other bindings will follow, e.g., raw HTTP
- A “profile” is a pattern for how to make assertions about other information
  - Two browser profiles for SSO: artifact and POST
  - SOAP profile for securing SOAP payloads
The SOAP-over-HTTP binding

- SOAP Message
  - SOAP Header
  - SOAP Body
    - SAML Request or Response
By contrast, the SOAP profile

- SOAP Message
  - SOAP Header
    - SAML Assertion about SOAP Body
  - SOAP Body
    - ...

[Sun Microsystems logo]
Web browser profiles

• These profiles assume:
  – A standard commercial browser and HTTP(S)
  – User has authenticated to a local source site
  – Assertion’s subject refers implicitly to the user

• When a user tries to access a target site:
  – A tiny authentication assertion reference travels with the request so the real assertion can be dereferenced
  – Or the real assertion gets POSTed
Future bindings and profiles

• The SAML committee will accept and register proposed new bindings and profiles
• Eventually we may standardize these
• Open publishing of these will at least help interoperability in the meantime
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Redirect with artifact
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Request referenced assertion
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Provide or refuse destination resource (out of band)
More on the SSO pull scenario

- **“Access inter-site transfer URL” step:**
  - User is at: [http://smithco.com](http://smithco.com)
  - Clicks on a link that looks like it will take her to [http://jonesco.com](http://jonesco.com)
  - It really takes her to inter-site transfer URL: [https://smithco.com/intersite?dest=jonesco.com](https://smithco.com/intersite?dest=jonesco.com)

- **“Redirect with artifact” step:**
  - Reference to user’s authentication assertion is generated as a SAML “artifact” (8-byte base64 string)
  - User is redirected to assertion consumer URL, with artifact and target attached: [https://jonesco.com?SAMLart=<artifact>](https://jonesco.com?SAMLart=<artifact>)
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_ _ _ _ _ Send P.O. response (out of band) _ _ _ _ _
More on the distributed transaction scenario

- An example of attaching SAML assertions to other traffic
- Asymmetrical relationship is assumed
  - Seller is already known to buyer, but buyer is not known to seller, a common situation
  - E.g., server-side certificates might be used to authenticate seller
- If it were symmetrical, additional SAML steps would happen on the right side too
  - This would likely be a different scenario
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SAML status

- A suite of five Committee Specs was published 19 April 2002 after 1¼ years of work
  - Core (with assertion and protocol schemas)
  - Bindings and profiles
  - Conformance
  - Glossary
  - Security considerations

- The SOAP profile is on a later track
  - We will be looking at WS-security and similar inputs

- Burton Catalyst conference will host SAML Interop 2002 in July with 13 vendors taking part

- SAML vote will be held June-October to achieve OASIS Standard status
SAML resources

• OASIS SAML Technical Committee
  – TC site: www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/
  – Archives: lists.oasis-open.org/archives/security-services/

• SAML developers’ mailing list
  – Archives: lists.oasis-open.org/archives/saml-dev/
  – Subscribe: lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl

• XML Cover Pages SAML page
  – xml.coverpages.org/saml.html

• Netegrity SAML information and JSAML toolkit
  – www.netegrity.com/products/
Some resources for related efforts

- IETF/W3C XML Signature
  - www.w3.org/Signature/
- W3C XML Encryption
  - www.w3.org/Encryption/2001/
- XKMS and its relatives (now at W3C)
  - www.w3.org/TR/xkms/
- OASIS XACML
  - www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml/
- OASIS Provisioning
  - www.oasis-open.org/committees/provision/
- Liberty Alliance
  - www.projectliberty.org
- Internet2
  - www.internet2.edu/
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