Search by Ideal Candidates: Next Generation of Talent Search at LinkedIn

Viet Ha-Thuc, Ye Xu, Satya Pradeep Kanduri, Xianren Wu, Vijay Dialani, Yan Yan,

∙
Abhishek Gupta, Shakti Sinha^{*} LinkedIn Corporation 2029 Steirlin Ct, Mountain View, CA 95035, USA {vhathuc,yexu,skanduri,rwu,vdialani,cyan,agupta,ssinha}@linkedin.com

ABSTRACT

One key challenge in talent search is how to translate complex criteria of a hiring position into a search query. This typically requires deep knowledge on which skills are typically needed for the position, what are their alternatives, which companies are likely to have such candidates, etc. However, listing examples of suitable candidates for a given position is a relatively easy job. Therefore, in order to help searchers overcome this challenge, we design a next generation of talent search paradigm at LinkedIn: Search by Ideal Candidates. This new system only needs the searcher to input one or several examples of suitable candidates for the position. The system will generate a query based on the input candidates and then retrieve and rank results based on the query as well as the input candidates. The query is also shown to the searcher to make the system transparent and to allow the searcher to interact with it. As the searcher modies the initial query and makes it deviate from the ideal candidates, the search ranking function dynamically adjusts an refreshes the ranking results balancing between the roles of query and ideal candidates. As of writing this paper, the new system is being launched to our customers.

1. INTRODUCTION

LinkedIn is the world largest professional networking platform with more than 400 million members. Within every second, more than two new members join the website. Our vision is to become the rst economic graph matching talents and opportunities at a massive scale. Over the time, as our member base increases and our economic graph vision becomes reality, LinkedIn has become the source for corporations around the world to nd new hires. On nancial side, about 64% of the company revenue is from Talent Solutions¹, which is a product helping recruiters and corpora-

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

WWW'16 Companion, April 11–15, 2016, Montréal, Québec, Canada. ACM 978-1-4503-4144-8/16/04. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2872518.2890549 .

tions around the world search for suitable talents. Therefore, talent search problem is extremely important to LinkedIn.

A key challenge in talent search is to translate the criteria of a hiring position into a search query that leads to desired candidates. To ful II this goal, the searcher has to understand which skills are typically required for the position, what are the alternatives, which companies are likely to have such candidates, which schools the candidates are most likely to graduate from, etc. Moreover, the knowledge varies overtime. As a result, it is not surprising that even for experienced recruiters, it often requires many searching trials in order to get to a good query, as indicated by LinkedIn search log data.

On the other hand, it is usually quite straightforward for a searcher to list one or several examples of good candidates for a given position. For instance, hiring managers or recruiters can simply source from the existing team members. Motivated by this, we propose a new talent search paradigm called Search by Ideal Candidates. In this paradigm, instead of specifying a complex query capturing the position requirements, the searcher can simply pick up a small set of ideal candidates (typically from one to three candidates) for the position. The system then builds a query automatically extracted from the input candidates and searches for result candidates who are similar to them. It is worth emphasizing that the query built from the ideal candidates is not only an intermediate goal used to nd result candidates but also shown to the searcher. Exhibiting the query explains why a certain result is shown up in search ranking thus makes the system transparent to the searcher. Furthermore, it allows the searcher to interact with the system and have control over the results by modifying the initial query. Therefore, building a high quality (initial) query and retrieving relevant results are equally important goals in this search paradigm.

Our system is related to several previous research directions in the literature including query-by-example typically used in image retrieval [1], relevance feedback popularly used in text retrieval [3] and item-to-item recommendation [4]. However, our problem has unique challenges. First, unlike the recommendation problem, in Search by Ideal Candidates, it is not only important to generate relevant results but also critical to build descriptive queries as explained above. Second, LinkedIn data is semi-structured and has unique characteristics. Di erent attribute types like skill, job title, company, etc. require di erent query building strategies. Third, as the searcher modi es the query, his information requirement could start slightly shifting away from the initial candidate inputs. Thus, the roles of the

[∗]All authors equally contribute to the paper.

¹http://blog.linkedin.com/2015/10/29/linkedins-q3-2015 earnings/

Figure 1: System Overview

query and ideal candidates on results dynamically change within a session.

To overcome these challenges, for query building, we propose an approach exploiting the uniqueness of LinkedIn data to generate queries from ideal candidates. In particular, to generate skills representing the expertise of the candidates, our approach estimates expertise scores of each candidate on the skills he or she might have and then aggregates the scores across the candidates to determine the most representative ones. To generate company attribute, our approach exploits co-viewing relationships amongst companies to discover companies similar to input candidates' companies. To rank the nal results, we propose a ranking function taking both query and input candidates into account. As the query increasingly deviates from the input candidates, the ranking function gradually focuses more on the impact of the query than it of the input candidates.

The new talent search paradigm is expected to be the next generation of LinkedIn Talent Solution. As of this writing, the product is being launched to a few pilot customers. We plan to ramp it up to other external customers in early 2016.

2. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Given a set of input candidates, our goal is to build a search query capturing the key information in their pro les and use the query to retrieve and rank results. The overall ow is shown in Figure 1. In the rst step, we extract the raw attributes, including skills, companies, titles, schools, industries, etc. from their pro les individually. These raw attributes are then passed to a query builder. For each attribute type, the query builder aggregates the raw attributes across the input candidates, expands them to similar attributes and nally selects the top attributes that are most similar to the ones from the input candidates.

After the query is generated, it is shown to the searcher and used to search for results. The searcher can interact and modify the query, e.g., adding or removing some attributes like skills or companies in the query. After the query is modied, search ranker will refresh search results. The search ranker takes both the query (initial or modi ed one) as well as the input candidates into account when ranking search

Figure 2: Member-skill expertise estimation

results. In the next sections, we discuss in more details the two key components of the system: query building and search ranking.

3. QUERY BUILDING

Given the raw attributes from the pro les of the ideal candidates, query builder generates a query containing skills, companies, titles, etc. that best represents the ideal candidates. Given space limit, in this paper we focus on skill and company attributes.

3.1 Skills

LinkedIn allows members to add skills to their pro les. Typical examples of skills for an information retrieval researcher would be \search", \information retrieval", \machine learning", etc. On LinkedIn, there are more than 35 thousand standardized skills. Members can also endorse skills of other members in their network. Thus, skills are an integral part of members' pro les that showcases their professional expertise. A challenge is that the ideal candidates may not explicitly list all the skills they have on pro les. On the other hand, some of their skills might not be relevant to their core expertise. For instance, an information retrieval researcher could have \nonpro t fundraising" skill.

To overcome these challenges, we rst estimate expertise scores of a member on the explicit skills and the ones he might have. Figure 2 describes the o ine process to estimate the expertise scores. In the rst step, we use a supervised learning algorithm combining various signals on LinkedIn such as skill-endorsement graph page rank, skill-pro le textual similarity, member's seniority, etc. to estimate the expertise score, i.e., $p(expert|member, skill)$. After this step, the expertise matrix (E_0) is very sparse since we can be certain only for a small percentage of the pairs. In the second step, we factorize the matrix into member and skill matrices in K-dimensional latent space. Then, we compute the dotproduct of the matrices to ll in the \unknown" cells. The intuition is that if a member have \machine learning" and \information retrieval" skills, based on skill co-occurrence patterns from all of our member base, we could infer that the member is likely to also know \learning-to-rank". Since the dot-product results in a large number of non-zero scores of each member on the 35K skills, the scores are then thresholded. If member's score on a skill is less than a threshold, the member is assumed not to know the skill and has zero expertise score on it. Thus, the nal expertise matrix (E_1) is still sparse but relatively much denser than E_0 . We refer interested readers to our recent work [2] for more details.

$$
f(\textit{skill}) = \sum_{c \in IC} \textit{expertiseScore}(c, skill) \tag{1}
$$

At running time, given a set of input ideal candidates $IC₁$ we rank the skills by the ranking function in Equation 1. The top-N skills are selected to represent the ideal candidates. Expertise scores of an ideal candidate on outlier skills are zero or very low, thus these skills are unlikely to get selected. Moreover, by taking the sum over all candidates, we boost the skills which many candidates are with, thus representing the commonality of the skill set.

3.2 Companies

Given the ideal candidates, besides their own companies, the query builder generates a set of companies, which are likely to also have candidates similar to them. In this work, we exploit the uniqueness of LinkedIn data to nd such companies.

thealdidataaC(o)11(-)1(a)1(n)1((y)-38404ofa(c)21(t.)43404o)o(n)1((y)1(o)1(k)in(n))39404e1(h)1(e)-i(r)-29405d1(a)1(r)-rtJ 0 [(t)1(o)1(mp business sensitivity, we omit some details regarding to feature computation. Instead, we give a high-level description the key feature categories.

Expertise scores For every search result, we compute sum of his or her expertise scores, which are described in Section 2.1, on the skills in the query. The higher the sum is, the better the result matches the information need in terms of skills.

Textual features These features capture the textual similarities between the query and dierent sections of result pro les, such as, current titles, past titles, current companies, past companies, etc.

Geographic features Talent search on LinkedIn is highly personalized. For instance, given the same query, recruiters in New York City and in Montreal are interested in very dierent results. Thus, location plays an important role in personalizing search results. We create multiple features capturing this.

Social features Another important aspect of personalization is to capture how the results socially relate to the searcher. We leverage a variety of the signals on LinkedIn, such as common friends, companies, groups and schools to generate features in this category.

Interested reader could nd more details on how the personalized ranking is trained in our recent work [2].

4.2 Career Trajectory Similarity

Dierent from keyword based matching methodology, Career Trajectory Similarity (CareerSim) ascertains a similarity between two pro les by leveraging the trajectory information encoded in series of positions held by the individuals through their careers. Due to the space limitation, we focus on the two key steps of the CareerSim framework here.

Profile Modeling To capture the trajectory information, CareerSim models every individual member prole as a sequence of nodes, each of which records all information within a particular position of member's career, such as company, title, industry, time duration, and keyword summary.

Similarity Computing At the node (position) level, similarity is ascertained by using a generalized linear model but other approaches could be easily substituted. Then at the sequence (pro le) level, sequence alignment method is employed to nd an optimal alignment between pairs of nodes from the two career paths.

To the best of our knowledge, CareerSim is the rst framework to model professional similarity between two people taking into account their career trajectory information. More details about the CareerSim framework can be found in our recent paper $[6]$. Given a set of ideal candidates IC , the similarity between a result r and the candidate set is simply the average over the individual ones as shown in Equation 3. We posit that using the temporal and structural features of a career trajectory for modeling similarity between a result and the ideal candidates provides a good complement to the signals in the personalized ranker. This also gives a direct similarity between a result and ideal candidates.

$$
f_2(r, IC) = \frac{\sum_{c \in IC} CareerSim(r, c)}{|IC|} \tag{3}
$$

4.3 Search Ranking Demo

Following the scenario described in Section 3.3, after the query builder constructs a query from input proles, the query is used to retrieve matched results. Then, the personalized ranker takes the query and the searcher's information into account to score each of the matched results. At the same time, the ideal candidates (Satya and Ryan) are also treated as another input for the CareerSim model to obtain a score measuring career trajectory similarity between each result and the candidates. The nal ranking results based on a combination of the two scores are shown in the lowright section of Figure 3. As illustrated in the gure, the top results are similar to the ideal candidates. They are all software engineers with the same seniority level (\sta ") and from the same or similar companies (\LinkedIn", \Google" or \Twitter").

During the search section, the searcher is still allowed to interact with the query via deleting or adding any of the entities. After every query edition, the retrieval system and the ranker are triggered and the result ranking is refreshed.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present the next generation of talent search at LinkedIn: Search by Ideal Candidates. In this new search paradigm, instead of constructing a highly complicated query describing criteria of a hiring position, the searcher simply inputs one or a few ideal candidates for the position, e.g., existing members in the team. Our system will automatically build a query from the ideal candidates and then retrieve and rank results.

For query building, we present approaches based on collaborative Itering to generate skill and company facets. For result ranking, we propose a ranking function combining a personalized search ranker and a career trajectory similarity model. As of this writing, the product is being launched to a set of pilot customers and it will be ramped to other customers early 2016.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ballerini, X. Li, R. B. Fisher, and J. Rees. A query-by-example content-based image retrieval system of non-melanoma skin lesions. In Medical content-based retrieval for clinical decision support, pages 31{38. Springer, 2010.
- [2] V. Ha-Thuc, G. Venkataraman, M. Rodriguez, S. Sinha, S. Sundaram, and L. Guo. Personalized expertise search at linkedin. In Proceedings of the 4th IEEE International Conference Big Data (BigData). IEEE, 2015.
- [3] J. J. Rocchio. Relevance feedback in information retrieval. In The SMART Retrieval System. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Clis NJ, 1971.
- [4] B. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. Konstan, and J. Riedl. Item-based collaborative ltering recommendation algorithms. In Proceedings of the 10th international conference on World Wide Web, pages 285{295. ACM, 2001.
- [5] L. Wu, S. Shah, S. Choi, M. Tiwari, and C. Posse. The browsemaps: Collaborative ltering at linkedin. In RSWeb. ACM, 2014.
- [6] Y. Xu, Z. Li, A. Gupta, A. Bugdayci, and A. Bhasin. Modeling professional similarity by mining professional career trajectories. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data mining (KDD). ACM, 2014.