Extracting Events and Event Descriptions from Twitter

Ana-Maria Popescu Yahoo! Labs Sunnyvale, CA, 94089 amp@yahoo-inc.com Marco Pennacchiotti Yahoo! Labs Sunnyvale, CA, 94089 pennac@yahoo-inc.com

Deepa Arun Paranjpe Yahoo! Labs Sunnyvale, CA, 94089 deepap@yahoo-inc.com

ABSTRACT

This paper describes methods for automatically detecting events involving known entities from Twitter and understanding both the events as well as the audience reaction to them. We show that NLP techniques can be used to extract events, their main actors and the audience reactions with encouraging results.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

I.2.6 [Artificial Intelligence]: Learning—knowledge acquisition

General Terms

Algorithms

Keywords

social media, information extraction, opinion mining, twitter

1. INTRODUCTION

Social media is a great medium for exploring developments which matter most to a broad audience. Recent work has included sentiment analysis in social media [2], mining coherent discussions on particular topics between social actors [5] and mining controversial events centered around specific entities [4]. This paper builds on the work in [4] by focusing on detecting events involving known entities from Twitter and understanding both the events as well as the audience reaction to them. We show that: (1) *Events* centered around specific entities can be extracted with encouraging results (70% precision and 64 % recall); (2) *Main entities* involved in the event as well as *entity actions* can be reliably identified; (3) A simple lexicon-based model for *opinion identification* performs well in understanding the audience response to a target entity and to the event.

2. EVENT EXTRACTION

Definitions. Following [4], we focus on detecting events involving known entities in Twitter data. Let a snapshot denote a triple $s = (e, \delta_t, tweets)$, where e is an entity, δ_t is a time period and tweets the set of tweets from the time period which refer to the target entity. An event is defined as an activity or action with a clear, finite duration in which the target entity plays a key role.

Task and methods. Given a snapshot s of an entity e, our task is to decide whether the snapshot describes a single central event

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

involving the target entity or not (e.g., is a generic discussion, or refers to many events with no clear main one). Following [4], we formulate this problem as a supervised Machine Learning (ML) problem and use the Gradient Boosted Decision Trees framework to solve it. We investigate two learning models:

EventBasic is a supervised classification method which represents each potential event snapshot using the large set of Twitterbased and external features described in [4] (e.g., number of action verbs, entity buzziness in Twitter on the given day, entity buzziness in news on the given day, etc.)

EventAboutness is a supervised classification method which augments the feature set of *EventBasic* as follows: we use a highly scalable *document aboutness* system [3] (see Section 3 for a brief description) in order to rank the entities in a snapshot with respect to their relative importance to the snapshot. We construct additional features based on such entities' importance scores in order to capture commonsense intuitions about *event* vs. *non-event* snapshots: most *event* snapshots have a small set of important entities and additional minor entities while *non-event* snapshots may have a larger set of equally unimportant entities (e.g. in the case of spam tweets which simply list unrelated entity names, etc.). Feature includes the mean and std.dev. of the top 3 importance scores, the std.dev. of the target entity score from the mean of the top 3 scores, etc.

Evaluation. We use a gold standard of 5040 snapshots which have been manually classified as *events* (2249) or *non-events* (2791). As a result, a baseline which would classify all snapshots as events would give a 0.45 precision. Table 2 summarizes the performance of the 2 versions of our event detection pipeline. While the systems are close in performance, *EventAboutness* performs best, with 0.70 precision and 0.60 recall. When inspecting the features ranked by importance by the GBDT framework, 1 aboutness feature appears in the top 10 (the st.dev. of the top 3 scores) and 2 additional ones in the top 3 scores). The most useful feature for both *EventBasic* and *EventAboutness* is the % of snapshots tweets which contain an action verb, while other useful features include the buzziness of an entity in the news on the given day and the number of reply tweets.

3. MAIN ENTITY EXTRACTION

In order to identify main entities, we use a highly-scalable document aboutness system [3] which relies on a large dictionary (27 million phrases, including inflectional and lexical variants). The *aboutness* computation system solves the classic term relevance problem defined as follows:

Let $T = t_1, t_2, t_3...$ be the set of terms in the Twitter snapshot s. The *aboutness* of the snapshot is the set A of (term t_i , score sc_i) tuples s.t.:

$$A = \{ (t_i, sc_i) \mid t_{i-1} \succ t_i, sc_i > sc_{i-1}, t_i \in T \}$$
(1)

WWW 2011, March 28–April 1, 2011, Hyderabad, India. ACM 978-1-4503-0637-9/11/03.

Snapshot	Julia Roberts, 2010-01-28, Golden Globes attendance	Jyoti Basu, 2010-01-17, Death
Example Tweets	"julia roberts looks absolutely stunning!" "lol julia roberts is faddeddddd" "I may have had one too many white russians but doesn't julia roberts look like madge?" "#goldenglobes julia roberts presenting the best picture award 2 avatar. me sooo sad"	"@BDUTT:jyoti basu died at 96,so sad,he missed 100." "comrade jyoti basu died donated his whole body" "#news jyoti basu has passed away. biman bose made the an- nouncement at AMRI Hospital Kolkata" "BDUTT is jyoti basu no more?"
Main entities	julia roberts, golden globes	jyoti basu, biman bose,amri hospital,kolkata
Audience opinions	+ julia roberts : absolutely stunning - julia roberts : faddeddddd - julia roberts : like madge + julia roberts : so kool	+ jyoti basu : great personality + jyoti basu : pioneer = jyoti basu : communist + jyoti basu : true example
Main entities' actions	julia roberts : presenting : best picture award julia roberts : bustin : on nbc julia roberts : sitting by : sir paul	jyoti basu : died : at 96 jyoti basu : donated : his body biman bose : made : the announcement

Table 1: Examples of event snapshot descriptions output of our system.

System	Р	R	F-1	Avg P	AROC
EventBasic	0.691	0.632	0.66	0.751	0.791
EventAboutness	0.702	0.641	0.67	0.752	0.788

 Table 2: Performance of event detection from Twitter.

System	MRR	Prec@1	Prec@3	Prec@5
TF-IDF	0.956	0.676	0.826	0.873
ML Aboutness	0.965	0.682	0.836	0.882

Table 3: Performance o	f main	entity	extraction.
------------------------	--------	--------	-------------

where $x \succ y$ represents x is more relevant than y and x should be ranked higher than y. We acquire the snapshot's *aboutness* description by using a ML approach that learns to score and rank snapshot terms based on implicit user feedback available in search engine click logs. The feature set includes relative positional information (e.g. offset of term in snapshot), term-level information (term frequency, Twitter corpus IDF), snapshot-level information (length of snapshot, category, language), etc.

Evaluation We evaluate the performance of the system for extracting the main entities, using a gold standard of 200 snapshots with an average of 30 tweets, each annotated by editors with their set of main entities. We use two measures for evaluating the entities ranked by the system: mean reciprocal rank (MRR) and average precision at several ranks. MRR helps in finding out how early in the system's ranked list of entities, we capture the first main entity provided by the editors. However, most snapshots have more than one main entity. We then use a version of average precision that computes the fraction of the entities in the gold standard per snapshot covered in the top k terms in the ranked list. Results are reported in Table 3, showing that our system improves over a baseline tf-idf system.

4. EXTRACTING ACTIONS AND OPINIONS

Given an event snapshot, we extract relevant actions performed by main entities and audience opinions about these entities. Given an event snapshot and its main entities, the system performs Part of Speech (PoS) tagging on the tweets, using an off-the-shelf tagger [1]. It then applies regular expressions over the obtained PoSsequences to extract entities' related information. Our approach is deliberately shallow, to reduce execution time and because the noisy, short and sparse nature of tweets discourages the use of more advanced approaches.

Action extraction is performed by extracting sequences where the entity is followed by a verb and then by a noun phrase (e.g. 'david duchovny showed up at the globes'). All such sequences are retained as entities' actions (no frequency-based filtering is employed due to the sparsity of Twitter data in this case). Our method extracts in average 8 actions per snapshot. Our evaluation provides editors with a snapshot and the extracted actions: the editors are asked to judge if the actions are grammatical and appropriately summarize the main aspects of the event. Results over a sample of 50 snapshots show that 77% actions are grammatical, and that for 68% of snapshots they provide an appropriate summarization.

Audience opinion extraction is performed by using two types of regular expressions: (1) the verbs be, look and seem preceeded by a main entity, and followed by either a noun or adjective phrase representing the user's opinion, e.g. 'Barack Obama is my hero'. (2) the pronoun I followed by a verb phrase representing the opinion, and then a main entity, e.g. 'I hate Julia Roberts'. We allow interleaved particles in the sequence to improve recall. We then classify each opinion by a sentiment-dictionary lookup [4]: if an opinion contains a sentiment word, we classify it accordingly as positive or negative polarity; otherwise neutral. For example 'Jude Law is quite gorgeous' is classified as a positive opinion since 'gorgeous' is a positive word in the dictionary. To improve coverage, edit distance is used to map mispelled words to dictionary entries (e.g. 'prettay' to 'pretty'). Opinion extraction is evaluated by collecting 600 random opinions from the corpus, and manually checking if the sentiment classification is correct; we also check if the extracted opinion is grammatically sound. Results show that 85% of opinions are grammatical and 78% of these are correctly spotted by the dictionary, with an accuracy of 0.84.

5. **REFERENCES**

- E. Brill. Transformation-based error-driven learning and natural language processing: A case study in part-of-speech tagging. *Computational Linguistics*, 21, 1995.
- [2] M. Choudhury, H. Sundaram, A. John, and D. Seligmann. Multi-scale characterization of social network dynamics in the blogosphere. In *Proc. of CIKM*, pages 1515–1516, 2008.
- [3] D. Paranjpe. Learning document aboutness from implicit user feedback and document structure. In *Proc. of CIKM*, 2009.
- [4] A.-M. Popescu and M. Pennacchiotti. Detecting Controversial Events from Twitter. In *Proc. of CIKM*, 2010.
- [5] Q. Zhao, P. Mitra, and B. Chen. Temporal and information flow based event detection from social text streams. In *Proc.* of WWW, 2007.