

A General Framework for Adaptive and Online Detection of Web attacks

Wei Wang^{*}
 Project AxIS, INRIA Sophia
 Antipolis
 2004 route des lucioles
 06902 Sophia Antipolis
 FRANCE
 wwangemail@gmail.com

Florent Masegla
 Project AxIS, INRIA Sophia
 Antipolis
 2004 route des lucioles
 06902 Sophia Antipolis
 FRANCE
 florent.masegla@sophia.inria.fr

Thomas Guyet
 Projet DREAM, IRISA
 Campus de Beaulieu
 35042 Rennes, FRANCE
 thomas.guyet@irisa.fr

Rene Quiniou
 Projet DREAM, IRISA
 Campus de Beaulieu
 35042 Rennes, FRANCE
 rene.quiniou@irisa.fr

Marie-Odile Cordier
 Projet DREAM, IRISA
 Campus de Beaulieu
 35042 Rennes, FRANCE
 marie-
 odile.cordier@irisa.fr

ABSTRACT

Detection of web attacks is an important issue in current defense-in-depth security framework. In this paper, we propose a novel general framework for adaptive and online detection of web attacks. The general framework can be based on any online clustering methods. A detection model based on the framework is able to learn online and deal with “concept drift” in web audit data streams. Str-DBSCAN that we extended DBSCAN [1] to streaming data as well as StrAP [3] are both used to validate the framework. The detection model based on the framework automatically labels the web audit data and adapts to normal behavior changes while identifies attacks through dynamical clustering of the streaming data. A very large size of real HTTP Log data collected in our institute is used to validate the framework and the model. The preliminary testing results demonstrated its effectiveness.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

C.2.0 [Computer-Communication Networks]: General—*Security and protection*

General Terms

Algorithms, Experimentation, Measurement, Security

Keywords

Anomaly detection, Intrusion detection, Clustering

1. INTRODUCTION

Anomaly intrusion detection is a widely studied topic in computer networks because of its capability of detecting

^{*}The author has moved to Q2S Center of Excellence, NTNU, Norway.

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).
 WWW 2009, April 20–24, 2009, Madrid, Spain.
 ACM 978-1-60558-487-4/09/04.

novel attacks. Anomaly detection normally builds a profile of a subject’s normal activities and attempts to identify any unacceptable deviation as possibly the result of an attack. Many existing anomaly IDSs (Intrusion Detection System) have some difficulties for practical use.

First, a large amount of precisely labeled data is very difficult to obtain in practice. In contrast, many existing anomaly detection approaches need precisely labeled data to train the detection model. Second, data for intrusion detection is typically streaming and the detection models should be frequently updated with new incoming labeled data. However, many existing anomaly detection methods involve off-line learning. Quickly and manually labeling the data is difficult and thus it is quite expensive to frequently re-train the IDS with new clean labeled data. Third, many current anomaly detection approaches assume that the data distribution is stationary and the model is static accordingly. In practice, however, data involved in current network environments always evolves. An effective anomaly detection method, therefore, should have adaptive capability to deal with the “concept drift” problem. That is, the model should be automatically updated to adapt to normal behaviors when there is a change detected.

2. THE FRAMEWORK

Our framework has a assumption that normal data is very large while abnormal data is rare in practical detection environments. During the clustering process, we use the size as well as looseness of each cluster to identify the anomalies. Our framework adaptively detects attacks with the following three steps (the pseudo code is described in Fig. 1).

- **Step 1.** Building the initial model with some online clustering algorithms. The first bunch of data is clustered and the exemplars (or cluster centers) as well as their associated items are thus obtained. Some outliers are identified, marked as *suspicious* and then put into a reservoir.
- **Step 2.** Identifying outliers and updating the model in the data streaming environment. As the audit data

stream flows in, each incoming data item is compared to the exemplars. If too far from the nearest exemplar, the item is identified as an outlier, marked as *suspicious* and then put into the reservoir. Otherwise the item is regarded as *normal* and the model is updated accordingly with the normal item.

- **Step 3.** Rebuilding the model and identifying attacks. The model rebuilding criterion is triggered if the number of incoming outliers exceeds a threshold or if a time period is up to another threshold. The detection model is rebuilt with the current exemplars and with the outliers in the reservoir, using the clustering algorithm again. An *attack* is identified if an outlier in the reservoir is marked as suspicious once again after the model rebuilding.

Audit data stream x_1, \dots, x_t, \dots ; **fit threshold** N, ϵ
Clustering (x_1, \dots, x_T) with some clustering algorithms
 e_i is the exemplar (clustering center) of one cluster
 n_i is the number of items in exemplar e_i
 μ_i is the mean sum of the distances between each exemplar e_i and its corresponding items
Reservoir = {}
if $n_i \leq N$ or $\mu_i \geq \epsilon$ **then**
 Reservoir \leftarrow all items x_j in e_i
end if
for $t > T$ **do**
 find e_i which is the nearest exemplar to item x_t
 if $d(e_i, x_t) < \epsilon$ **then**
 Update model
 else
 Reservoir $\leftarrow x_t$
 end if
 if change detected **then**
 Rebuild the model (Re-clustering)
 Consider all the exemplars e_j in Reservoir
 if e_j appears at least twice in Reservoir and ($n_j \leq N$ or $\mu_j \geq \epsilon$) **then**
 all the items in e_j are attacks
 else
 Update the model
 end if
 end if
end for

Fig.1. Pseudo code of the framework

3. DETECTION MODELS BASED ON THE FRAMEWORK

The detection models can be based on any online clustering algorithms. We extend DBSCAN [1] to Str-DBSCAN that is suitable for clustering streaming data. The Str-DBSCAN as well as a newly invented StrAP [3] are both used to build the detection models based on the framework, because these two clustering algorithms have no need to define the number of clusters beforehand.

DBSCAN is a density based clustering algorithm. After the initial clustering, each cluster is represented by an exemplar that is closest to its center. In data streaming environments, upon a “concept change” has been detected, Str-DBSCAN clusters all the current exemplars as well as

the outliers that are the points far from the exemplars. During the clustering, we continually update the exemplars with some weights, so that some exemplars will be forgotten if they seldom appear in a period while some exemplars will be strengthened if they appear very frequently.

Affinity Propagation (AP) is a recently developed clustering algorithm and Zhang et al. extended it to StrAP in data steaming environments. AP clusters an initial data set and finds some exemplars to represent each cluster. In streaming environments, similarly StrAP continually updates the clusters and deal with “concept drift” in the data streams.

4. EXPERIMENTS AND CONCLUSION

In the experiments, we collected a very large data set of HTTP logs on the main Apache server of our institute for web attack detection. We also used another 35 different types of attack (<http://www.i-pi.com/HTTP-attacks-JoCN-2006> [2]) to increase the number of attacks. To facilitate comparison, we also used k-NN to build a static model for attack detection. We set $k=1$ and compute the closest Euclidean distance between an incoming test vector X and each vector in the training data set. X is classified as anomalous if its closest distance is above a pre-defined threshold.




Fig.2. ROC curves with Str-DBSCAN, StrAP and k-NN

ROC curves (Detection Rates against False Positive Rates) are presented in Fig. 1 to show the testing results. It is seen that adaptive anomaly detection methods, Str-DBSCAN as well as StrAP, are more effective than static detection method, k-NN, because adaptive methods adopt to the behavioral changes while the static method does not. The adaptive methods are also efficient than static method because adaptive methods summarize the historical data into some simple concepts (e.g., exemplars) while the static method does not.

Web attack detection is becoming important as Web-based vulnerabilities represent a substantial portion of the security exposures of computer networks. Our framework is effective to detect attacks in an online and adaptive fashion without a priori knowledge (e.g., data distribution as well as labeled information).

5. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ester. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *KDD*, 1996.
- [2] K. Ingham and H. Inoue. Comparing anomaly detection techniques for http. In *10th International Symposium on Recent Advances in Intrusion Detection*, 2007.
- [3] X. Zhang, C. Furtlehner, and M. Sebag. Data streaming with affinity propagation. In *ECML/PKDD*, 2008.