# Testing Google Interfaces Modified for the Blind

Patrizia Andronico, Marina Buzzi IIT, National Research Council via Moruzzi, 1, 56010 Pisa, Italy +39-050-3152090, +39-050-3152631

Patrizia.Andronico@iit.cnr.it Marina.Buzzi@iit.cnr.it Barbara Leporini

ISTI, National Research Council via Moruzzi, 1 -56010 Pisa, Italy +39-050-3152034

Barbara.Leporini@isti.cnr.it

Carlos Castillo

Università di Roma "La Sapienza" via Salaria 113, 00198 Roma, Italy +39-064-9918344

Castillo@dis.uniroma1.it

### **ABSTRACT**

We present the results of a research project focus on improving the usability of web search tools for blind users who interact via screen reader and voice synthesizer. In the first stage of our study, we proposed eight specific guidelines for simplifying this interaction with search engines. Next, we evaluated these criteria by applying them to Google UIs, re-implementing the simple search and the result page. Finally, we prepared the environment for a remote test with 12 totally blind users. The results highlight how Google interfaces could be improved in order to simplify interaction for the blind.

# **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

H.5.2 [Information Interfaces and Presentation]: User Interfaces – *User-centred design* 

#### **General Terms**

Search engine, user interface, Internet, web navigation.

# **Keywords**

Search engine, user interface design, accessibility, usability, blind.

# 1.SEARCH ENGINES AND BLIND USERS

Web navigation is quite difficult for blind persons using a screen reader, since the pages are read sequentially, one row at a time according the page code structure, starting from the top left corner of the page and losing all layout, style and font information [3]. Search engine interaction is even more difficult due to the complexity of the interfaces and functions: actions take longer and tasks are more difficult since additional actions are required. Craven et al. [2] performed experiments on a sample of blind and visually-impaired users who carried out four information-seeking tasks, including the use of search engines. Visually-impaired users searching the Web for a specific piece of information took on average 2.5 times longer than sighted users. The efficiency gap was further quantified in [4], where, when executing a set of tasks, blind participants took twice as long as sighted users to explore search results and three times as long to explore the corresponding web pages.

Copyright is held by IW3C2. WWW 2006, May 22–26, 2006, Edinburgh, UK.

Our results show that it is possible to have a great UI "look & feel" while assuring satisfaction and efficiency of use for all, and in particular for a blind user interacting via screen reader with a voice synthesizer. We chose Google to show that UI interactions can be improved while maintaining the original graphic layout provided by the Google visual designer. Our design was completely user-centered since we took into consideration the special needs of blind users from the earliest stages.

Our approach involved modifying source code: grouping the most important parts of the interface and repositioning them in a more appropriate way in the code, adding shortcuts to make navigation faster and introducing sounds for alerting one user about important events. We took great pains to ensure that **the visual appearance of the pages was the same in the original and modified version**. We believe that when designing the graphic layout of the user interface one should keep sighted users in mind, but when structuring the interface code it is fundamental to consider the needs of blind users. For parsing search results, we used Google API and XSLT 4. Once the prototype was tested and revised by this paper's blind author, we conducted qualitative usability testing with blind users who navigate via screen reader, in order to collect comments and suggestions and highlight any interaction problems.

#### 2.USABILITY TESTING

The screen reader deals with web page content in a very different manner from visual rendering. Without knowing the structure of the interface, people risk spending a great deal of time exploring without ever reaching the important elements.

Figure 1 shows the screen reader's interpretation of the original (left) and modified (right) result pages. Italics refer to words/sentences inserted by the screen reader, informing the user about interface elements (link, button, edit field, heading level and so on). New parts, added when we re-engineered the interface, are highlighted in bold. Note how the different order of the sections in the code has changed the screen reader's sequential reading. In the modified UIs the results are the first elements announced. Each result is numbered and separated by a blank line (a pause) from the following while in the original UIs there is no clear separation between results, which may be confusing.

A test was sent to each participant in electronic format by e-mail or in a form accessible via Web.

Figure 1 – Screen Reader rendering

Figure 1 – Screen Reader rendering.		
	Original Google interface	Modified Google interface
	Francesco Renga Concerts - Google	Results for Francesco Renga
	Search	Concerts - Google Search
	Link Go to Google Home	Web
	Web	Heading level 1 Results:
	Link Images	Results 1 - 10 of about 2150 for
	Link Groups	Francesco Renga Concerts.
	Link News	(0.072713 seconds)
	Link Froogle	
	Link more »	1 Link Northern Italian culture -
		[]
	Edit Francesco Renga Concerts	http://www.bed-breakfast-
	Search Button	italy.com/culture/outdoors.htm -
	Link Advanced Search	11k -
	Link Preferences	Link Cached – Link Similar pages
		Link Sillinai pages
	Search:	2 Link Live 8 - LIVE on XM []
	Radio button checked the web	http://www.xmradio.com/live8/inde
	Radio button not checked pages from	x.jsp - 23k -
	the UK	Link Cached –
	Web	Link Similar pages
	Web	[]
	Results 1 - 10 of about 2150 for	Handing level 2 Spansared Links
	Francesco Renga Concerts.	Heading level 3 Sponsored Links
	(0.076712 seconds)	Link Francesco Renga CDs []
		www.cdconnection.com
	Table with 4 columns and 6 rows	
	Sponsored Links	Link Concerts []
	Sponsored Emiks	www.MrsFinder.co.uk
	Link Francesco Renga CDs []	Heading level 1 Result Page:
	www.cdconnection.com	Treating teret I Result I age.
	Link Concerts []	1 Link 2
	www.MrsFinder.co.uk	[]
	W W WILDER INCOME.	Link 10
	table end	Link Next alt++
		Handing land 2 County
	Link Northern Italian culture []	Heading level 2 Search:  Edit Francesco Renga Concerts
	www.bed-breakfast- italy.com/culture/outdoors.htm - 11k	alt+c
	-	Search Button
	Link Cached –	
	Link Similar pages	Search:
		Radio button checked the Web
	Link Live 8 - LIVE on XM []	alt+w
	www.xmradio.com/live8/index.jsp - 23k	Radio button not checked pages from the UK alt+p
	238	from the OK att+p
	Link Cached –	Heading level 2 Advanced search:
	Link Similar pages	Link Advanced search alt+a
	[]	Link Preferences
	Result Page	
	Result Page: Link 2	Navigation bar:
	[]	Link Navigation help alt+h
	Link 10	Link Google Homepage alt+g Web
	Link Next	Link Images
		Link Groups
	Edit Francesco Renga Concerts	Link News alt+n
	Search Button	Link Froogle
		Link more

The protocol used included a preliminary questionnaire, a set of tasks, and a post-questionnaire:

Link more

- In the preliminary questionnaire the 12 participants provided information about their technical expertise, age, educational background and knowledge of search tools as well as screen readers.
- 2) The remote testing procedure provided tasks to be completed. We decided to perform a remote test in order to allow users to use their own computers and assistive technologies. The environment for executing the search tasks was available online at a specific URL and contained only two links: one to the user interface reproducing the original Google Homepage and the other to our modified Google Homepage.
- 3) The post-test questionnaire had 22 questions divided into three sections: information regarding the subject's experience performing the assigned tasks, difficulties in carrying out the task, and degree of satisfaction.

Testing itself consisted of 10 steps (tasks) including a preliminary exploration of the original and modified Google interfaces, as well as performing free and specific queries and exploring results in both the interfaces.

## 3.RESULTS

No data were collected during testing performed by users; only subjective data were gathered by questionnaires. Data from the post-questionnaire revealed that all users appreciated the simplified interaction and especially the positioning of the search box and results. In particular, all participants declared that the modified home page interface simplified the search set-up compared to the original one, and 11 of 12 thought the result interface was clearer and easier to use. Concerning the speed of accomplishing the assigned search tasks, 11 of 12 participants acknowledged they felt that the simplified interaction and the greater clarity in result exploration reduced the time needed to carry out the search. The most skilled user stated that the time it took to reach desired results was reduced by 20-30% compared to time required for the original Google interface. Regarding evaluation of specific features, participants judged sounds, shortcuts, and different visiting order assigned to links (i.e. by tabindex) to be important, as well as hidden labels and numbering of results which assured greater clarity and aided orientation in result exploration. The participants suggested that Google adopt all (9 users) or some (2 users) of the proposed changes. Last, users expressed great interest in applying the same solutions to other services offered both by Google (Froogle, News, and Scholar) or by other e-commerce websites.

#### 4.REFERENCES

- Andronico P., Buzzi M., Castillo, C., Leporini B. 2005. Improving Search Engine Interfaces for Blind Users: a Case Study. To appear in UAIS, Springer.
- [2] Craven, J., Brophy, P. 2003. Non-visual access to the digital library: the use of digital library interfaces by blind and visually impaired people. Tech report, Manchester: CERLIM. http://www.cerlim.ac.uk/pubs/index.php
- [3] Goble C., Harper S., Stevens R. 2000. The Travails of Visually impaired Web Travellers. In Proceedings of Hypertext 2000 (San Antonio, June 2000), ACM, 1-10.
- [4] Ivory, M. Y., Yu, S. Gronemyer, K. 2004. Search result exploration: a preliminary study of blind and sighted users' decision making and performance. Extended abstracts of CHI 2004 (Vienna, April 2004), ACM, 1453-1456.